



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,671	_	08/25/2003	Larry D. Powell	18236.133	3206
21878	7590	01/31/2006		EXAMINER	
		NGTON LOBDEL	LAVINDER, JACK W		
214 N. TRYON STREET HEARST TOWER, 47TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE, NC 28202			3677		
				DATE MAILED: 01/31/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	000 4.45 0	10/647,671	POWELL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jack W. Lavinder	3677					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exten after \$ - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N	ovember 2005						
		action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 9</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
	•							
Application	on Papers	e e						
ا ال	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
•			Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*	` '					
11)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
;	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(•							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		eatent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/647,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6 and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ackermann, 2986787, in view of Kurihara, 3801726.

Regarding claims 1-3, 6, 9, Ackermann discloses a wire bundle, i.e., two ends 1, 2 of the single wire is considered a wire bundle, comprising a plurality of sinuous wires (3, 4, figure 1) each in a form of a sine wave arranged adjacently in coextensive side abutting relation and a clip (figure 3) with inwardly protruding hooks on the end of the legs of the u-shaped clip for clamping the wire bundle together. The clip is not a single continuous piece of wire.

Kurihara discloses a bundle of coextensive abutting sinuous wires (2) clamped together with a clip device (3) being formed from a single continuous piece of wire having a generally u-shaped configuration with an open and closed end. The clip also has legs that extend arcuately away from the base portion toward the open end (figure 2c).

In view of Ackermann and Kurihara's teachings, it would have be obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to manufacture the clip in Ackermann

Application/Control Number: 10/647,671

Art Unit: 3677

from a single continuous wire in order to simplify the process and reduce the cost of manufacturing the clip.

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack W. Lavinder whose telephone number is 571-272-7119. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday, 9-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack W Lavinder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

1/26/06